

FILED

JUN 02 2017

SUSAN Y. SOONG
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND

A. B.
3126 Shattuck Avenue
Berkeley, California 94705
Telephone: (510) 540-0878

Pro Se Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

A. B.,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

CITY OF ALBANY; ALBANY POLICE
DEPARTMENT; PENELOPE CRUMPLEY,
individually and in her official capacity as
City Manager for the City of Albany; and
MIKE MCQUISTON, individually and in his
official capacity as Police Chief for the City
of Albany; and MR. T. DOLTER (Badge No.
7), MR. J. KURLAND (Badge No. 21), MR.
S. SCHOENFELD, individually and in their
official capacity as Albany Public Officials or
Albany Police Officers; and DOES 1-100,
inclusive;

Defendant(s).

Case Number:

C17- 3272

KAW

CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT FOR
DAMAGES, INJUNCTIVE AND
DECLARATORY RELIEF

42 U.S.C. § 1983

Jury Trial Demanded

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 79-5
UNREDACTED VERSION OF
DOCUMENT(S) SOUGHT TO BE
SEALED

CHAMBERS COPY

I. INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff's, A. B. ("Plaintiff"), brings this civil action against Defendant, the City of Albany ("City" and/or "Defendant"), under the First, Fourth, Fifth, Ninth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution; Section 1557 of the ACA, 42 U.S.C. § 18116; Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000d, and its implementing regulations, 42 U.S.C. §§ 1981, 1982, 1983 and 1986; as well as the ADA; The Voting Rights Act of 1965; Article 1, §§ 7(a) and 13 of the California Constitution; California Civil Code §§ 51.7, 52.1, 54.1; California Government Code §§ 11135 and 11139; California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, 17500 *et seq.*; and the California Common Law.

2. The City of Albany, through its agents, its employees, and the Albany Police Department, and persons and parties acting on its behalf, have engaged in an ongoing pattern or practice of conduct, including discrimination, that deprives persons of rights, privileges and immunities secured and protected by the United States Constitution and federal law. Albany law enforcement, and persons acting on its behalf have conduct stops, searches, and detentions without legal justification, in violation of the Fourth Amendment; use excessive force, in violation of the Fourth Amendment; interfere with the right to free expression, in violation of the First Amendment; prosecute and resolve municipal charges in a manner that violates due process and equal protection guarantees of the Fourteenth Amendment; and discriminate against African Americans in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment and federal statutory law, to name some.

3. Defendant's violation of constitutional and statutory rights, based in part on prioritizing the misuse of law enforcement authority as a means to discriminate against plaintiff's protected class statuses over legitimate law enforcement purposes, is ongoing and pervasive. Further, it is unlikely that the City will remedy these patterns and practices of unlawful conduct absent judicial mandate and oversight. The Plaintiff brings this action to remedy Defendant's unlawful conduct and secure, at least, the declaratory and injunctive relief needed to ensure compliance with the United States Constitution, the Constitution of the State of California, and federal and state law.

4. The Plaintiff alleges as follows:

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. Jurisdiction of the Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sec. 1331. This action seeks, at least, damages and injunctive relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This Court has jurisdiction over the action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343. It has supplemental jurisdiction over the state law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

6. Venue properly lies within this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). The named defendants perform their official duties in this District, and all of the events, actions, and/or omissions giving rise to plaintiffs' claims occurred in this District.

III. INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

7. Pursuant to Civic Local Rule 3-2, this action is properly assigned to the San Francisco or Oakland divisions of this Court.

IV. PARTIES

8. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff, A. B., an individual over the age of 18, was a resident of the State of California and a citizen of the United States of America. Plaintiff, is a member of protected class status under Section 1557 of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ACA), 42 U.S.C. § 18116, and, by physical appearance, a person of color.

9. Defendant CITY OF ALBANY is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a municipal corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of California. Defendant City of Albany, California is a public entity subject to suit under both federal and California state law. Defendant CITY OF ALBANY, hereinafter “Defendant City” and/or “APD” and/or “City of Albany”, is a California municipal corporation and is the legal entity responsible for itself, its employees, and for the City of Albany Police Department, a public entity charged with law enforcement in the State of California. Upon information and belief, the Defendant City receives federal funds through federal assistance or federal grants from the United States Department of Justice, and/or Health and Human Services, and/or other federal agencies. As such, the City of Albany is legally required to conduct its activities in a racially non-discriminatory manner. This Defendant City is also the employer of the individual Defendants, and is responsible for the policies, practices and customs of the City, and the training and discipline of its police officers and its public officials, and, is a proper entity to be sued under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

10. Upon information and belief, defendant PENELOPE CRUMPLEY of the City of Albany is a resident of California. Defendant PENELOPE CRUMPLEY was at all times herein mentioned the City Manager of the City of Albany and an authorized policymaker and/or fiduciary of the City of Albany responsible for the fiduciary administration of City services and the enforcement of City ordinances, and the direct supervisor of CHIEF MCQUISTON. CRUMPLEY set in motion, supervised, directed, approved, and acquiesced in the constitutional violations at the June 7, 2016, and/or related, stop(s), including but not limited to the use of

1 racial and identity profiling-discrimination and deprivation of the plaintiffs' First, Fourth, Fifth,
2 Ninth and Fourteenth Amendment rights by Albany officers. Upon information and belief,
3 defendant CRUMPLEY failed adequately to train City of Albany personnel and to promulgate
4 appropriate policies to prevent race-bias-based citizen stops, and has established, implemented
5 and enforced illegal and unconstitutional policies and practices that have caused plaintiffs'
6 injuries.

7 11. Upon information and belief, defendant MICHAEL MCQUISTON of the APD is
8 a resident of California. Defendant MICHAEL MCQUISTON was at all times herein mentioned
9 the Chief of Police of the City of Albany and an authorized fiduciary policymaker of the City of
10 Albany responsible for the fiduciary administration of City services and the enforcement of City
11 ordinances, and the direct and or indirect supervisor of Albany POLICE OFFICERS.
12 MCQUISTON set in motion, supervised, directed, approved, and acquiesced in the constitutional
13 violations at the June 7, 2016 and/or related, stop(s), including but not limited to the use of racial
14 and identity profiling-discrimination and deprivation of the plaintiffs' First, Fourth, Fifth, Ninth
15 and Fourteenth Amendment rights by Albany officers. Upon information and belief, defendant
16 MCQUISTON failed adequately to train City of Albany personnel and to promulgate appropriate
17 policies to prevent race-bias-based citizen stops, and has established, implemented and enforced
18 illegal and unconstitutional policies and practices that have caused plaintiffs' injuries.

19 12. Defendants MR. T. DOLTER (Badge No. 7), MR. J. KURLAND (Badge No. 21),
20 MR. S. SCHOENFELD, AND, "POLL WORKER" DOES, individually and in their official
21 capacity as Albany Public Officials or City of Albany Police Officers at the time of the subject
22 incidents, who participated in the police or public official conduct complained of, as further set
23 forth below.

24 13. All of the defendants, and each of them, are sued both in their individual and
25 official capacities.

26 14. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and/or capacities of defendants sued herein
27 as DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, and therefore sue said defendants by such fictitious names.
28 Plaintiff will amend this complaint to allege their true names and capacities when ascertained.

1 The DOE defendants include other individuals who supervised and/or participated in the conduct
 2 complained of herein. Plaintiff is informed and believe and therefore allege that each of the DOE
 3 defendants is legally responsible and liable for the incident, injuries and damages hereinafter set
 4 forth, and that each of said defendants proximately caused said incidents, injuries and damages
 5 by reason of their negligence, breach of duty, negligent supervision, management or control,
 6 violation of constitutional and legal rights, or by reason of other personal, vicarious or imputed
 7 negligence, fault, or breach of duty, whether severally or jointly, or whether based upon agency,
 8 employment, or control or upon any other act or omission. Plaintiff will ask leave to amend this
 9 complaint to insert further charging allegations when such facts are ascertained.

10 15. Each of the defendants, including defendants DOES 1 through 100, caused, and is
 11 responsible for, the below-described unlawful conduct and resulting injuries by, among other
 12 things, personally participating in the unlawful conduct or acting jointly or conspiring with
 13 others who did so; by authorizing, acquiescing in or setting in motion policies, plans or actions
 14 that led to the unlawful conduct; by failing to take action to prevent the unlawful conduct; by
 15 failing and refusing with deliberate indifference to plaintiff's rights, to initiate and maintain
 16 adequate training and supervision; and by ratifying the unlawful conduct that occurred by agents
 17 and officers under their direction and control, including failing to take remedial or disciplinary
 18 action.

19 16. In doing the acts alleged herein, defendants, and each of them, acted within the
 20 course and scope of their employment.

21 17. In doing the acts and/or omissions alleged herein, defendants, and each of them,
 22 acted under color of authority and/or under color of law.

23 18. In doing the acts and/or omissions alleged herein, defendants, and each of them,
 24 acted as the agent, servant, employee and/or in concert with each of said other defendants.

25 **V. A. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

26 **ALBANY Community Policing ["No Trespassing"] and/or Drug Interdiction Program[s]**

27 19. Discrimination has plagued American society since this country's inception.
 28

1 20. Discriminations' substantial chilling effect has also plagued American society
2 since its inception.

3 21. This is certainly true for the Defendant City of Albany, California, its agents, and
4 persons acting on its behalf.

5 22. City of Albany, police and public officials and their partnerships, through the
6 Defendant City's federally and/or state funded drug interdiction and/or community policing
7 and/or "no trespassing" policy, practices and programs, and, under the color of law(s), have long
8 relied upon bias-based elements like race and ethnicity, and/or other plaintiff's protected class
9 statuses, in conducting stops, detentions, interrogations, seizures and searches of persons and
10 properties, and, anything otherwise.

11 23. In City of Albany, police and public officials use trespassing charges/property
12 bans as a law enforcement tool because the bans create an automatic right to arrest and search
13 persons they suspect for drug or other illegal activity, or, for example on public properties, ban
14 persons who they believe have previously caused trouble on the public property. Yet, upon
15 information and belief, many times, Defendants have engaged in an unabated, continuing
16 custom, pattern and practice of discrimination. Further harming the public.

17 24. What is happening to the plaintiff, as described below, is not unusual. Plaintiffs'
18 stories are consistent with the defendants' policy, pattern and practice of discriminating on the
19 basis of race, and, unlawful biases otherwise, when determining whom to target, stop, seize,
20 interrogate, detain and/or search in their drug interdiction and/or community policing and/or "no
21 trespassing" efforts. The clear fraud and discriminatory effect of these practices, and the
22 discriminatory purpose hidden behind the defendants' practice and policy of willful ignorance, is
23 immoral, illegal, and, deadly. These practices must be stopped.

24 **V. B. THE ILLEGAL STOP AND SEARCH IN THIS CASE: VIOLATIONS**

25 **1. VIOLATED CIVIL RIGHTS AND FRAUD: Voting-while-Black-plus**

26 48. On or about Tuesday, June 7, 2016, at or near the California primary voting site,
27 City of Albany Senior Center, 846 Masonic Ave, Albany, CA 94706, during the California
28 primary voting processes, the following maliciousness 'racial-identity profiling-, and/or other

1 plaintiff's protected class statuses discrimination'-based violence and unlawfulness was directed
 2 against Plaintiff while "voting-while-black-plus-[other plaintiff's protected class statuses]", and,
 3 "visiting-while-black-plus-[other plaintiff's protected class statuses]", and, "vacating-while-
 4 black-plus-[other plaintiff's protected class statuses]", when visiting in the City of Albany
 5 community; a location which sits just east of CA State Route Highway 123, and north of the
 6 North Berkeley BART, in Albany, California.

7 49. At the early stage of 2016 voting process, Plaintiff, on Tuesday, June 7, 2016, at
 8 the California primary voting site, City of Albany Senior Center, 846 Masonic Ave, Albany, CA
 9 94706, without warning, was maliciously attacked, under fraudulent and discriminating terms, by
 10 at least three "Poll Workers", DOES no. 1 through no. 3, this was done repeatedly for no
 11 legitimate reason.

12 50. At no time during the events described above, was Plaintiff incapacitated, a threat
 13 to the safety of oneself or others, disorderly or in the commission of a criminal offense.

14 51. Plaintiff was attacked, stopped, searched, and violently removed by public
 15 officials without any legal basis, and was harmed, shamed and demeaned by being forced to
 16 endure the very violent public hurt and humiliating treatment from the City of Albany officials
 17 who stopped him. After this stop, Plaintiff has valid concerns that Plaintiff would not be able to
 18 freely visit this community because Plaintiff cannot travel about Albany, nor on or near CA State
 19 Route Highway 123 in the City of Albany, California, without being oppressed, stopped, or
 20 threatenings or intimidations. In light of this unjustified violent attack and the policy and practice
 21 of City of Albany officials bias-based program[s] as set forth above, Plaintiff has a reasonable
 22 belief and fear that Plaintiff will be harassed, abused, and stopped again in the future.

23 **2. VIOLATED CIVIL RIGHTS AND FRAUD-Continued: Visiting-while-Black-plus**

24 52. And, furthering the foregoing "Poll Workers" DOES 1 – 3 fraud and civil rights
 25 violations, it came to pass, when, Plaintiff was visiting-while-black at Albany Senior Center, and
 26 was seated to eat Plaintiffs' food, the site supervisor, Mr. Sidney Schoenfeld, maliciously
 27 mocked, seized, stopped, detained, denied food, removed, and interrogated Plaintiff, all done
 28 without any consent, nor any notice, nor any justification.

53. Defendants, including Mr. Schoenfeld, acting under color of law, although asked, never made it clear, why Plaintiff had been denied food, public accommodations and rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States.

54. Although the stop, seizure and search turned up nothing, and, although Plaintiff had not committed any site violations, the Defendant did not allow Plaintiff to return to site. Instead, Defendant Official began to further mock and make for fraudulent accusations upon Plaintiff.

55. Plaintiff was pulled out under Defendants' fraud and fraudulent concealment, and, because of Plaintiff's race, and/or other plaintiff's protected class statuses. Plaintiff was not doing nor had done anything erratically, and had not committed any site violations. And, Plaintiff's visit was not found unsafe in any manner. The sole "offense" Plaintiff committed was visiting-while-Black-plus-other-plaintiff's-protected-class-statuses.

56. Plaintiff was stopped, seized, subjected to being denied ones food and unwarranted search, removal, and examination, and detained on the basis of Plaintiff's race-identity, and/or other plaintiff's protected class statuses, and Defendants' conspiracy, discrimination and fraud.

3. VIOLATED CIVIL RIGHTS AND FRAUD-Continued – in Vicinity-while-Black-plus

57. Later, while Plaintiff was yet in the vicinity of California primary voting site, at City of Albany Senior Center, 846 Masonic Avenue, Albany, CA 94706, City of Albany Public Safety Officer Mr. Justin Kurland (Badge no. 21), and, Sgt. Mr. Thomas "Tom" Dolter (Badge no. 7), without consent, nor for any lawful justification, stopped, seized, detained, and interrogated Plaintiff.

58. These officers, without any justification, added more injury, and, continued the same cruel abuses and fraud under the color of the law and authority promulgated by previously aforementioned herein City of Albany officials.

59. At no time did they seek Plaintiff's consent, nor was it given, while, without justification, Plaintiff's was being the subject of the pattern and practice of these public officials / law enforcement officers conduct that deprived Plaintiff's rights, privileges, or immunities

1 secured or protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States and/or the state of
2 California.

3 60. After this nearly half hour detention, Plaintiff was released without a ticket or
4 citation. Plaintiff only "crime," it turned out, was being African American, and/or other
5 plaintiff's protected class statuses.

6 61. At no time during the events described above, was Plaintiff incapacitated, a threat
7 to the safety of oneself or others, disorderly or in the commission of a criminal offense.

8 62. Defendant Albany Police Officers and/or Public Officials had no warrant for the
9 arrest and or detention of the Plaintiff, no probable cause for the arrest and or detention of the
10 Plaintiff, and no legal cause to invade the privacy of the Plaintiff, and, no legal cause or excuse
11 to seize the person or property of the Plaintiff.

12 88. Plaintiff travels and visits throughout the City of Albany, for basic life reasons,
13 often. Plaintiff has no intention of changing ones travels or life needs, and therefore has a
14 reasonable expectation that one will travel to such sites in the future. Therefore, based on this
15 stop, the other stops, and Plaintiffs' need to use the public accommodations for business and
16 personal reasons, and especially in light of the City of Albany unlawful biased program's policy,
17 pattern and practice of conduct as described above, Plaintiff has a valid and reasonable concern
18 that Plaintiff will be stopped by a City of Albany official again in the future solely on the basis of
19 ones race-identity, and/or other plaintiff's protected class statuses.

20 **4. VIOLATED CIVIL RIGHTS AND FRAUD-Continued – Vacated-while-Black-plus**

21 89. Unto this day, while Plaintiff having long since vacated the California primary
22 voting site and process, at City of Albany Senior Center, 846 Masonic Avenue, Albany, CA
23 94706, yet, oppressive retaliations, threatenings, harassments, and intimidations continue from
24 Defendants City of Albany, its agents, and persons acting on its behalf, all being done without
25 any lawful justification upon Plaintiff: creating an ongoing and pervasive voting-while-black-
26 plus substantial chilling effect.

27 90. Again, collectively continuing the same cruel abuses and fraud under the color of
28 the law and authority promulgated by previously aforementioned herein City of Albany officials.

1 91. At no time during the events described above, was Plaintiff incapacitated, a threat
2 to the safety of oneself or others, disorderly or in the commission of a criminal offense.

3 92. Defendant City of Albany has no warrant for the arrest and or detention of the
4 Plaintiff, no probable cause for the arrest and or detention of the Plaintiff, and no legal cause to
5 invade the privacy of the Plaintiff, and, no legal cause or excuse to seize the person or property
6 of the Plaintiff.

7 93. Plaintiff travels and visits throughout the City of Albany, for very basic life
8 reasons, often. Plaintiff has no intention of changing ones various travels or life needs, and
9 therefore has a reasonable expectation that one will visit, be in vicinity, vacate, or maybe vote at
10 such sites in the future. Therefore, based on this stop, the other stops, and Plaintiffs' need to use
11 the public accommodations for business and personal reasons, and especially in light of the City
12 of Albany unlawful biased program's policy, pattern and practice of conduct as described above,
13 Plaintiff has a valid and reasonable fear that Plaintiff will be stopped by an Albany official, its
14 agents, and persons acting on its behalf, again in the future solely on the basis of ones race-
15 identity, and/or other plaintiff's protected class statuses. Again: These practices must be stopped.

16 **VI. A. REQUISITES FOR RELIEF**

17 94. As a direct and proximate result of the conduct of defendants described above,
18 plaintiff has been denied Plaintiffs' constitutional and statutory rights as stated below and has
19 suffered and continue to suffer mental and emotional distress, humiliation, embarrassment,
20 discomfort, anxiety, persecution and pain.

21 95. Defendants' acts were willful, wanton, malicious, oppressive and done with
22 conscious disregard and deliberate indifference for plaintiffs' rights. Therefore, defendants'
23 actions justify an award to plaintiff of punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

24 96. Defendants' policies, practices, conduct and acts alleged herein have resulted and
25 will continue to result in irreparable injury to plaintiff, including but not limited to further
26 violations of Plaintiffs' statutory and constitutional rights. Plaintiff has no plain, adequate or
27 complete remedy at law to address the wrongs described herein. Plaintiff intends in the future to
28 exercise Plaintiffs' constitutional rights of freedom of speech and association by engaging in

1 First, Fourth, Fifth, Ninth and Fourteenth Amendments and expressive activities in the City of
2 Albany, California. Defendants' conduct described herein has created fear, anxiety and
3 uncertainty in plaintiff with respect to the exercise, now and in the future, of constitutional rights
4 within the City of Albany, California. Plaintiff therefore seek injunctive relief from this court, to
5 ensure that plaintiff and persons similarly situated will not suffer violations of their rights from
6 defendants' illegal and unconstitutional policies, conduct, customs, practices and acts described
7 herein. Plaintiff also seek injunctive relief in the form of an order requiring that defendants seal
8 and destroy any records derived from plaintiff's arrests and/or detentions and/or harassments,
9 including fingerprints, photographs, and all other identification and descriptive information, and
10 all information, and biological samples and information obtained from such biological samples
11 collected from the plaintiff, and identify to the plaintiff all entities and agencies to which such
12 information has been disseminated; and that all such disseminated records be collected and
13 destroyed.

14 97. Defendants acted with discriminatory intent in violation of plaintiffs' legal and
15 constitutional rights, and have directly and proximately caused plaintiffs' humiliation, mental
16 pain and suffering. As a direct, legal and proximate result of defendants' violations of plaintiffs'
17 statutory, constitutional and common law rights, plaintiff have been damaged in an amount
18 which is not yet known. Plaintiff will seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint when
19 ascertained, or will amend to conform to proof at time of trial.

20 98. At all times herein mentioned, defendants had an obligation to comply with
21 federal and state laws regarding race-identity-based, and/or other plaintiff's protected class
22 statuses discrimination. Defendants failed to meet these obligations with respect to plaintiff.

23 99. Plaintiff therefore seek injunctive relief from this court including but not limited
24 to police policies, training, and accountability measures, to ensure that Plaintiff and persons
25 similarly situated will not suffer violations of their rights from defendants' illegal and
26 unconstitutional policies, customs and practices as described herein.

27 100. As a direct and proximate result of the conduct of defendants described herein,
28 Plaintiff has been denied Plaintiffs' constitutional, statutory and legal rights as stated below, and
TITLE OF DOCUMENT: CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT CASE NO.: _____
PAGE NO. 11 OF 28

1 have suffered general and special damages, including but not limited to, mental and emotional
 2 distress, physical injuries and bodily harm, pain, fear, humiliation, embarrassment, discomfort,
 3 and anxiety and other damages in an amount according to proof.

4 101. An actual controversy exists between plaintiff and defendants in that plaintiff
 5 contend that the policies, practices and conduct of defendants alleged herein are unlawful and
 6 unconstitutional, whereas plaintiff are informed and believe that defendants contend that said
 7 policies, practices and conduct are lawful and constitutional. Plaintiff seek a declaration of rights
 8 with respect to this controversy.
 9

10 102. Plaintiff have incurred, and will continue to incur, various fees and costs in
 11 amounts to be determined according to proof.

12 VI. B. CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

13 VII. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

14 Race Discrimination in Federally Funded Programs

15 Violation of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and 28 C.F.R. §§ 42.101 *et seq.* 16 42 U.S.C. § 1983

17 (Against Defendants CRUMPLEY and MCQUISTON in their official capacity)

18 103. Plaintiff incorporate by reference and reallege paragraphs 1-102. of this
 19 Complaint.

20 104. Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000d, provides:

21 [N]o person in the United States shall, on the ground of race, color, or
 22 national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the
 23 benefits of, or be subjected discrimination under any program or
 24 activity receiving federal financial assistance.

25 105. Federal regulations implementing Title VI prohibit federally funded programs or
 26 activities from having a racially discriminatory impact or effect. The regulations provide that no
 27 program receiving financial assistance through the United States Department of Justice or any
 28 federal agency shall:

Utilize criteria or methods of administration which have the effect
 of subjecting individuals to discrimination because of their race, color,
 or national origin, or have the effect of defeating or substantially
 impairing accomplishment of the objectives of the program as
 respects individuals of a particular race, color, or national origin.

106. Defendant City of Albany receives federal financial assistance from the United States Department of Justice and other federal agencies, and thus is bound to abide by the terms of Title VI and its implementing regulations, including 28 C.F.R. §§ 42.101 et seq.

107. The drug interdiction and/or “no trespassing” and/or community policing methods employed by the City of Albany have a discriminatory impact on persons of color traveling through Albany, California, including plaintiff, as described herein, and thereby violate 28 C.F.R. §§ 42.101 et seq. and Title VI. This violation is actionable under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Through their acts and omissions as alleged in this Complaint, defendants CRUMPLEY and MCQUISTON has caused the violations of plaintiffs’ rights secured by Title VI and its implementing regulations.

108. Defendants CRUMPLEY’s and MCQUISTON’s violation of Title VI and its implementing regulations has caused and will continue to cause plaintiff to suffer tremendous harm and public humiliation in that Plaintiff has been and will continue to be subjected to City of Albany policies and practice of race-identity-based, and/or other plaintiff’s protected class statuses discrimination in the absence of judicial intervention.

109. Wherefore, the plaintiff pray for relief as hereinafter set forth.

VIII. SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
Intentional Race Discrimination in Federally Funded Programs
Violation of Title VI of the 1964 Civil Rights Act
 (Against Defendant APD)

110. Plaintiff incorporate by reference and reallege paragraphs 1-109. of this Complaint.

111. The City of Albany intentionally discriminates against persons of color, and/or other plaintiff’s protected class statuses passing through Albany, California, including plaintiff, through its drug interdiction and/or “no trespassing” and/or community policing methods, as described herein, in violation of § 601 of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d et seq.

112. Defendant City of Albany's violation of Title VI has caused and will continue to cause plaintiff to suffer tremendous harm and public humiliation in that Plaintiff has been and will continue to be subjected to City of Albany's practice of race-identity-based, and/or other plaintiff's protected class statuses discrimination in the absence of judicial intervention.

113. Wherefore, the plaintiff pray for relief as hereinafter set forth.

IX. THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION
Intentional Discrimination in Federally Funded Programs
Violation of Section 1557 of the ACA, 42 U.S.C. § 18116
 (Against Defendant APD)

114. Plaintiff incorporate by reference and reallege paragraphs 1-113. of this Complaint.

115. The City of Albany intentionally discriminates against persons of plaintiff's protected class statuses passing through Albany, California, including plaintiff, through its drug interdiction and/or "no trespassing" and/or community policing methods, as described herein, in violation of Section 1557 of the ACA, 42 U.S.C. § 18116.

116. Defendant City of Albany's violation of Section 1557 has caused and will continue to cause plaintiff to suffer tremendous harm and public humiliation in that Plaintiff has been and will continue to be subjected to City of Albany's practice of race-identity-based, and/or other plaintiff's protected class statuses discrimination in the absence of judicial intervention.

117. Wherefore, the plaintiff pray for relief as hereinafter set forth.

X. FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION
Violation of the Fourteenth Amendment and 42 U.S.C. § 1983
 (Against all defendants in their individual and official capacities except APD)

118. Plaintiff incorporate by reference and reallege paragraphs 1-117. of this Complaint.

119. Defendants, and/or each of them, individually and/or while acting under color of law and in concert with one another, have engaged in a continuing pattern and practice of intentional race discrimination in drug interdiction and/or "no trespassing" and/or community

1 policing efforts carried out in the Defendants law enforcement activities and operations, its
 2 agents, and persons acting on its behalf. In so doing, defendants have caused plaintiff, to suffer
 3 deprivation of Plaintiffs' fundamental rights to liberty and to be free from unlawful searches,
 4 detentions and seizures on account of Plaintiffs' race-identity and/or national origin. These
 5 actions violated plaintiffs' rights to equal protection of the laws, in violation of the Fourteenth
 6 Amendment to the Constitution of the United States and 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

7 120. Defendants, and/or each of them, individually and/or while acting under color of
 8 law, institute, authorize, tolerate, ratify, permit and acquiesce in policies, practices and customs
 9 of detention, searches and seizures which involve intentional race-identity, and/or other
 10 plaintiff's protected class statuses discrimination in the provision of law enforcement services.

11 121. The defendants' acts and omissions were done in knowing violation of plaintiffs'
 12 legal and constitutional rights, and have directly and proximately caused plaintiffs' general and
 13 special damages, including, but not limited to, humiliation, mental pain and suffering.

14 122. Wherefore, the plaintiff pray for relief as hereinafter set forth.

15 **XI. FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

16 **Violation of the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution 42 17 U.S.C. § 1983.**

18 (Against all defendants in their official and individual capacities except APD)

19 123. Plaintiff incorporate by reference and reallege paragraphs 1-122. of this
 20 Complaint.

21 124. Defendants, and/or each of them, individually and/or while acting under color of
 22 law and in concert with one another, have violated plaintiffs' rights to be free from unreasonable
 23 searches and seizures under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States
 24 Constitution. Defendants have subjected plaintiff, to lengthy detentions, interrogations and
 25 searches, without probable cause or reasonable suspicion to believe that any crime had been
 26 committed or that plaintiff were carrying drugs of any kind, in violation of the Fourth
 27 Amendment guarantee against unreasonable searches and seizures, and giving rise to plaintiff
 28 claims pursuant to the Fourteenth Amendment and 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

125. Defendants, and/or each of them, individually and/or while acting under color of law, institute, authorize, tolerate, ratify permit and acquiesce in policies, practices and customs of detentions, interrogations, searches and seizures without probable cause or reasonable, articulable suspicion of crime, in their provision of law enforcement services.

126. Defendants' acts and omissions were done in knowing violation of plaintiffs' legal and constitutional rights, without good faith, and have directly and proximately caused plaintiffs' general and special damages, including but not limited to, humiliation, mental pain and suffering.

127. Wherefore, the plaintiff pray for relief as hereinafter set forth.

XII. SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of the Commerce Clause, Article IV and Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution 42 U.S.C. § 1983

(Against all defendants in their individual and official capacities except the APD)

128. Plaintiff incorporate by reference and reallege paragraphs 1-127. of this Complaint.

129. Defendants, and/or each of them, individually and/or while acting under color of law and in concert with one another, have caused plaintiff to be penalized and deterred in the exercise of Plaintiffs' fundamental right to interstate travel and migration on account of Plaintiffs' race and/or ethnicity and/or national origin. These actions violated plaintiffs' right to travel, in violation of the Commerce Clause and the Privileges and Immunities Clauses of Article IV and the Fourteenth Amendment.

130. Defendants, and/or each of them, individually and/or while acting under color of law, institute, authorize, ratify, permit and acquiesce in policies, practices and customs of detention, searches and seizures which violate plaintiffs' fundamental right to interstate travel.

131. Defendants' acts and omissions were done in known violation of plaintiffs' legal and constitutional rights, without good faith, and have directly and proximately caused plaintiffs' general and special damages, including but not limited to, humiliation, mental pain and suffering.

132. Wherefore, the plaintiff pray for relief as hereinafter set forth.

XIII. SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

TITLE OF DOCUMENT: CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT CASE NO.: _____

PAGE NO. 16 OF 28

Violation of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution 42 U.S.C. § 1983. - Retaliation

(Against all defendants in their individual and official capacities except the APD)

133. Plaintiff incorporate by reference and reallege paragraphs 1-132. of this Complaint.

134. Defendants' and each of their above-described conduct, and/or each of them, individually and/or while acting under color of law and in concert with one another, have violated plaintiff's rights to freedom of speech and association under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

135. Defendants' acts and omissions were the result of discriminatory intent, and were done in known violation of plaintiffs' legal and constitutional rights, without good faith, and have directly and proximately caused plaintiffs' general and special damages, including, but not limited to, humiliation, mental pain and suffering.

136. Wherefore, the plaintiff pray for relief as hereinafter set forth.

XIV. EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution 42 U.S.C. § 1983. - Excessive Force

(Against all defendants in their individual and official capacities except the APD)

137. Plaintiff re-allege and incorporate by reference the preceding paragraphs 1-136. of this Complaint.

138. The acts and/or omissions of the defendants, and each of them, individually and/or while acting in concert with one another, violated plaintiffs' rights to be free from excessive and/or arbitrary force and/or arrest and/or imprisonment without reasonable or probable cause, under the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution.

139. Wherefore, the plaintiff pray for relief as hereinafter set forth.

XV. NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution 42 U.S.C. § 1983. - Questioning Stops

(Against all defendants in their individual and official capacities except the APD)

140. Plaintiff incorporate by reference and reallege paragraphs 1-139. of this Complaint.

141. Defendants' and each of their above-described conduct, and/or each of them, individually and/or while acting under color of law and in concert with one another, have violated plaintiff's rights to freedom of speech and association under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

142. Defendants' acts and omissions were the result of discriminatory intent, and were done in known violation of plaintiffs' legal and constitutional rights, without good faith, and have directly and proximately caused plaintiffs' general and special damages, including but not limited to, humiliation, mental pain and suffering.

143. Wherefore, the plaintiff pray for relief as hereinafter set forth.

XVI. TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of the First, Fourth, Fifth, Ninth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution 42 U.S.C. § 1983. - The Right to Privacy
(Against all defendants in their individual and official capacities except the APD)

144. Plaintiff incorporate by reference and reallege paragraphs 1-143. of this Complaint.

145. Defendants' and each of their above-described conduct, and/or each of them, individually and/or while acting under color of law and in concert with one another, have violated plaintiff's rights to freedom of speech and association, right to privacy and to be let alone, right/privilege against self-incrimination, which provides protection for the privacy of personal information, and rights not specifically enumerated in the Constitution, which citizens nevertheless retain, and, right to not deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law, under the First, Fourth, Fifth, Ninth, and Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

146. Defendants' acts and omissions were the result of discriminatory intent, and were done in known violation of plaintiffs' legal and constitutional rights, without good faith, and have directly and proximately caused plaintiffs' general and special damages, including but not limited to, humiliation, mental pain and suffering.

147. Wherefore, the plaintiff pray for relief as hereinafter set forth.

XVII. ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

TITLE OF DOCUMENT: CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT CASE NO.: _____
PAGE NO. 18 OF 28

Violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1981

(Against all defendants in their individual and official capacities except the APD)

148. Plaintiff incorporate by reference and reallege paragraphs 1-147. of this Complaint.

149. Defendants, and/or each of them, individually and/or while acting under color of law and in concert with one another, have denied plaintiff Plaintiffs' rights to full and equal benefit of the laws and Plaintiffs' right to be subject to like punishment under 42 U.S.C. § 1981.

150. Defendants' acts and omissions were the result of discriminatory intent, and were done in known violation of plaintiffs' legal and constitutional rights, without good faith, and have directly and proximately caused plaintiffs' general and special damages, including but not limited to, humiliation, mental pain and suffering.

151. Wherefore, the plaintiff pray for relief as hereinafter set forth.

XVIII. TWELVETH CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1982

(Against all defendants in their individual and official capacities except the APD)

152. Plaintiff incorporate by reference and reallege paragraphs 1-151. of this Complaint.

153. Defendants, and/or each of them, individually and/or while acting under color of law and in concert with one another, have denied plaintiffs' rights to full and equal benefit of the laws and plaintiffs' right to be subject to like real or personal property privileges, protections, and enjoyments under 42 U.S.C. § 1982.

154. Defendants' acts and omissions were the result of discriminatory intent, and were done in known violation of plaintiffs' legal and constitutional rights, without good faith, and have directly and proximately caused plaintiffs' general and special damages, including but not limited to, humiliation, mental pain and suffering.

155. Wherefore, the plaintiff pray for relief as hereinafter set forth.

XIX. THIRTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Conspiracy to Violate Civil Rights

(42 U.S.C. § 1986)

(All defendants except APD)

1 156. Plaintiff incorporate by reference and reallege paragraphs 1-155. of this
2 Complaint.

3 157. Defendants, and/or each of them, individually and/or while acting under color of
4 law and in concert with one another, and by way of a conspiracy among them, have caused
5 plaintiff, to be denied equal protection of the laws and to be deprived of equal privileges and
6 immunities under the laws, on account of plaintiffs' race and/or national origin, and/or other
7 plaintiff's protected class statuses, by subjecting him to legally unjustified and racially
8 discriminatory detentions and searches. The supervisory defendants had knowledge of the
9 conspiracy to violate plaintiffs' civil rights and of the violations committed, and had power to
10 prevent these wrongs, but neglected or refused to do so in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1986.

11 158. Defendants' acts and omissions were done in knowing violation of plaintiffs'
12 legal and constitutional rights, and have directly and proximately caused plaintiffs' general and
13 special damages, including but not limited to, humiliation, mental pain and suffering.

14 159. Wherefore, the plaintiff pray for relief as hereinafter set forth.

15 **XX. FOURTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**
16 **Violation of Government Code §§ 11135 and 11139**

17 160. Plaintiff incorporate by reference and reallege paragraphs 1-159. of this
18 Complaint.

19 161. Government Code § 11135(a) prohibits race discrimination in any program or
20 activity that is funded directly by the state or receives any financial assistance from the state.

21 162. State regulations implementing § 11135 provide that no program receiving
22 financial assistance from the State of California shall have an unjustified discriminatory impact
23 or effect on the basis of race.

24 163. Defendants APD receive financial assistance from the State of California, and
25 thus is bound to abide by the terms of Government Code §11135 and its implementing
26 regulations.

1 164. Eleventh Amendment immunity of the State of California was waived by the State
2 of California in its enactment of amendments to Government Code § 11139 in the California
3 Civil Rights Amendments of 1999.

4 165. The drug interdiction and/or “no trespassing” and/or community policing methods
5 employed by the Defendant City discriminate against African-American persons, and/or other
6 plaintiff’s protected class statuses traveling through Albany, California, including plaintiff, as
7 described herein.

8 166. Defendant’s violation of Government Code §11135 and its implementing
9 regulations have caused and will continue to cause plaintiff to suffer tremendous harm and public
10 humiliation in that Plaintiff has been and will continue to be subjected to Defendants’ practice of
11 race-based discrimination, and/or other plaintiff’s protected class statuses without judicial
12 intervention.

13 167. Wherefore, the plaintiff pray for relief as hereinafter set forth.

14
15 **XXI. FIFTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**
16 **Violation of Article 1, § 7 (a) of the California Constitution**
 (Against all Defendants except APD)

17 168. Plaintiff incorporate by reference and reallege paragraphs 1-167. of this
18 Complaint.

19 169. Defendants’ above-described conduct violated plaintiffs’ rights not to be deprived
20 of due process and equal protection of the laws under Article 1, § 7(a) of the California
21 Constitution.

22 170. Wherefore, the plaintiff pray for relief as hereinafter set forth.

23 **XXII. SIXTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**
24 **Violation of Article 1, § 13 of the California Constitution**
 (Against all Defendants except APD)

25 171. Plaintiff incorporate by reference and reallege paragraphs 1-170. of this
26 Complaint.

27 172. Defendants’ above-described conduct violated plaintiffs’ rights to be free from
28 unreasonable searches and seizures under Article 1, § 13 of the California Constitution.

173. Wherefore, the plaintiff pray for relief as hereinafter set forth.

XXIII. SEVENTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of California Civil Code § 51.7

(Against all Defendants except APD)

174. Plaintiff incorporate by reference and reallege paragraphs 1-173. of this Complaint.

175. Defendants' and each of their above-described conduct violated plaintiff's right to be free from violence and intimidation by threat of violence because of their actual or perceived political affiliation and/or viewpoint, in violation of California Civil Code §51.7.

176. Wherefore, the plaintiff pray for relief as hereinafter set forth.

XXIV. EIGHTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of California Civil Code § 52.1(b)

(Against all Defendants except APD)

177. Plaintiff incorporate by reference and reallege paragraphs 1-176. of this Complaint.

178. Defendants' above-described conduct interfered and/or attempted to interfere, by threats, intimidation and coercion, with plaintiffs' peaceable exercise and/or enjoyment of their rights as secured by the United States Constitution and/or California Constitution, in violation of California Civil Code § 52.1.

179. Wherefore, the plaintiff pray for relief as hereinafter set forth.

XXV. NINETEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101 *et seq.*

(Against all Defendants except APD)

180. Plaintiff incorporate by reference and reallege paragraphs 1-179. of this Complaint.

181. Defendants' above-described conduct interfered and/or attempted to interfere, by threats, intimidation and coercion, with plaintiffs' peaceable exercise and/or enjoyment of their

1 rights as secured by the United States Constitution and/or California Constitution, in violation of
2 the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (ADA), 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101 *et seq.*

3 182. Wherefore, the plaintiff pray for relief as hereinafter set forth.

4 **XXVI. TWENTIETH CAUSE OF ACTION**
5 **Violation of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, 29 U.S.C. §§ 701 *et seq.***
6 (Against all Defendants except APD)

7 183. Plaintiff incorporate by reference and reallege paragraphs 1-182. of this
8 Complaint.

9 184. Defendants' above-described conduct interfered and/or attempted to interfere, by
10 threats, intimidation and coercion, with plaintiffs' peaceable exercise and/or enjoyment of their
11 rights as secured by the United States Constitution and/or California Constitution, in violation of
12 the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, 29 U.S.C. §§ 701 *et seq.*

13 185. Wherefore, the plaintiff pray for relief as hereinafter set forth.

14 **XXVII. TWENTY-FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**
15 **Violation of the Age Discrimination Act of 1975.**
16 (Against all Defendants except APD)

17 186. Plaintiff incorporate by reference and reallege paragraphs 1-185. of this
18 Complaint.

19 187. Defendants' above-described conduct interfered and/or attempted to interfere, by
20 threats, intimidation and coercion, with plaintiffs' peaceable exercise and/or enjoyment of their
21 rights as secured by the United States Constitution, in violation of the The Age Discrimination
22 Act prohibits discrimination on the basis of age in federally funded programs or activities.

23 188. Wherefore, the plaintiff pray for relief as hereinafter set forth.

24 **XXVIII. TWENTY-SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**
25 **Violation of California Public Accommodations Law, California Civil Code § 54.1, *et seq.***
26 (Against all Defendants except APD)

27 189. Plaintiff incorporate by reference and reallege paragraphs 1-188. of this
28 Complaint.

190. Defendants' above-described conduct interfered and/or attempted to interfere, by threats, intimidation and coercion, with plaintiffs' peaceable exercise and/or enjoyment of their rights as secured by the United States Constitution and/or California Constitution, in violation of California Public Accommodations Law, California Civil Code § 54.1, *et seq.*

191. Wherefore, the plaintiff pray for relief as hereinafter set forth.

XXIX. TWENTY-THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION
Violation of California Business & Professions Code § 17200 *et seq.*-Unfair Business Practices Act
(Against all Defendants except APD)

192. Plaintiff incorporate by reference and reallege paragraphs 1-191. of this Complaint.

193. Defendants' above-described conduct interfered and/or attempted to interfere, by threats, intimidation and coercion, with plaintiffs' peaceable exercise and/or enjoyment of their rights as secured by the United States Constitution and/or California Constitution, in violation of California Business & Professions Code § 17200 *et seq.*

194. Wherefore, the plaintiff pray for relief as hereinafter set forth.

XXX. TWENTY-FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION
Violation of California Business & Professions Code § 17500 *et seq.*-False Advertising Practices Act
(Against all Defendants except APD)

195. Plaintiff incorporate by reference and reallege paragraphs 1-194. of this Complaint.

196. Defendants' above-described conduct interfered and/or attempted to interfere, by threats, intimidation and coercion, with plaintiffs' peaceable exercise and/or enjoyment of their rights as secured by the United States Constitution and/or California Constitution, in violation of California Business & Professions Code § 17500 *et seq.*

197. Wherefore, the plaintiff pray for relief as hereinafter set forth.

XXXI. TWENTY-FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress

(Against all Defendants except APD)

198. Plaintiff incorporate by reference and reallege paragraphs 1-197. of this Complaint.

199. Defendants' above-described conduct, and/or each of them, individually and/or while acting in concert with one another, was extreme and outrageous. Said conduct was done intentionally and with conscious disregard of plaintiffs' rights, and directly and proximately caused plaintiffs' general and special damages, including but not limited to, humiliation, mental pain and suffering.

200. Wherefore, the plaintiff pray for relief as hereinafter set forth.

XXXII. TWENTY-SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress

(Against all Defendants except APD)

201. Plaintiff incorporate by reference and reallege paragraphs 1-200. of this Complaint.

202. Defendants' above-described conduct and/or omissions, and/or each of them, individually and/or while acting in concert with one another, constituted a breach of defendants' duty of care to plaintiff to ensure that defendants did not cause unnecessary or unjustified harm to plaintiff. It was reasonably foreseeable to all defendants that a breach of that duty by defendants would cause emotional distress to plaintiff.

203. Wherefore, the plaintiff pray for relief as hereinafter set forth.

XXXIII. TWENTY-SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

False Imprisonment

(Against all Defendants except APD)

204. Plaintiff incorporate by reference and reallege paragraphs 1-203. of this Complaint.

205. Defendants' above-described conduct, and/or each of them, individually and/or while acting in concert with one another, restrained plaintiff against Plaintiffs' will and without legal justification.

206. Defendants' acts and/or omissions, and/or each of them, individually and/or while acting in concert with one another, were intentional and done in violation of plaintiffs' rights, and have directly and proximately caused plaintiffs' general and special damages, including but not limited to, humiliation, mental pain and suffering.

207. Wherefore, the plaintiff pray for relief as hereinafter set forth.

XXIV. TWENTY-EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION
Violation of Voting Rights Act of 1965
[18 U.S.C. § 594 - Intimidation of voter]

208. (Against all defendants in their individual and official capacities except the APD)

209. Plaintiff incorporate by reference and reallege paragraphs 1-207. of this Complaint.

210. Defendants, and/or each of them, individually and/or while acting under color of law and in concert with one another, have denied Plaintiffs' rights to full and equal benefit of the laws and Plaintiffs' right to vote and voting process, and be be free of intimidation, or coerce, or any interference under The Voting Rights Act [18 U.S. Code § 594].

211. Defendants' acts and omissions were the result of discriminatory intent, and were done in known violation of plaintiffs' legal and constitutional rights, without good faith, and have directly and proximately caused plaintiffs' general and special damages, including but not limited to, humiliation, mental pain and suffering.

212. Wherefore, the plaintiff pray for relief as hereinafter set forth.

XXXV. TWENTY-NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION
Declaratory Relief

213. Plaintiff incorporate by reference and reallege paragraphs 1-212. of this Complaint.

214. There is a real and actual controversy between plaintiff and defendants regarding whether defendants may undertake to act as described herein. Plaintiff contend that defendants violated the United States and California Constitutions and the laws of the United States and of California. On information and belief, defendants deny that their conduct violated the United States and California Constitutions and the laws of the United States and of California. Plaintiff

1 fear that Plaintiff will again be subjected to such unlawful and unconstitutional actions, and
 2 seeks a judicial declaration that defendants' conduct deprived plaintiff of rights under the United
 3 States and California Constitutions and the laws of the United States and California.

4 **XXVII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

5 215. WHEREFORE, plaintiff pray for judgment against defendants as follows:

6 1. For preliminary and permanent injunctive relief restraining defendants
 7 from engaging in the unlawful and unconstitutional actions complained of above;

8 2. For preliminary and permanent injunctive relief requiring defendants to
 9 seal and destroy all fraud records derived from these matters, including all fingerprints,
 10 photographs, identification and descriptive information, and all biological samples and
 11 information obtained from such biological samples collected from the plaintiff;

12 3. For entry of an order that disclosure be made in writing to plaintiff and the
 13 Court as to all entities and agencies to which such material has been disseminated and by
 14 whom gathered; and that all records disseminated be collected and sealed, including all
 15 copies of such disseminated records that may have been subject to dissemination by
 16 others;

17 4. For entry of an order declaring the matter against Plaintiff null and void;

18 5. For a declaratory judgment that defendants' conduct complained of herein
 19 violated plaintiffs' rights under the United States and California Constitution and laws;

20 6. For past, present and future compensatory, consequential and general
 21 damages, including but not limited to, pain, suffering, permanent disfigurement and/or
 22 emotional distress to be determined according to proof;

23 7. For past, present and future special damages, including, but not limited to,
 24 medical expenses, lost wages, damage to career and/or other out of pocket losses to be
 25 determined according to proof;

26 7. For a temporary restraining order, preliminary injunction, and permanent
 27 injunction, all enjoining Defendants, and each of them, and their agents, employees, and
 28 all persons acting in concert with them from harassments, intimidations, threatenings, and

1 the like upon the Plaintiff, and any illegal activity without the full supervision and
2 authorization of the court and legitimate law enforcement officers and the permission of
3 the court, with the exception of emergency, and, or, requested services by Plaintiff;

4 9. For exemplary and punitive damages against the individual defendants,
5 and/or each of them, to be determined according to proof;

6 10. For statutory damages and exemplary damages pursuant to Cal. Civil
7 Code §§ 52 and 52.1, to be determined according to proof, and for a \$25,000 civil penalty
8 per violation pursuant to Cal. Civil Code § 52;

9 11. For pre- and post-judgment interest as permitted by law;

10 12. For attorneys' fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988 and Cal. Civil Code §§ 52
11 and 52.1, and/or other authorities, to be determined according to proof;

12 13. For costs of suit;


13 14. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper,
14 and any other appropriate relief at law and equity.

15 **XXVIII. DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

16 216. Pursuant to Rule 38(b), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Rule 3-6, Local
17 Rules, United States District Court, Northern District of California, Plaintiff demand trial by jury
18 for all the issues plead herein so triable.

19 Respectfully submitted,

20
21 Dated: May 30, A.D. 2017

22 By:  _____

23 A. B.

24 Pro Se for Plaintiff

25 A B | PRO SE
26
27
28